

Photometric Redshifts, DES, and DESpec

Huan Lin, Photo-z's, DES, and DESpec, DESPec Workshop, KICP, Chicago, 30 May 2012

	Outline	
DARK ENERGYSURVEY		

- DES photo-z calibrations: spectroscopic training set fields
- DES photo-z calibrations: spectroscopic follow-up
 - Redshift completeness
 - Sample variance
 - DESpec
 - Cross correlations



DES Photo-z Training Sets (highlighted in red)

From J. Annis	Table 3	8. Relevant Existing	Datasets
	ra (degrees)	dec (degrees)	Reason
\mathbf{SPT}	$-60 \leq \alpha \leq 105$	$-65 \le \delta \le -45$	SZ
ACT	$26 \leq \alpha \leq 107$	$-55 \le \delta \le -49$	SZ
SPT Deep	$-13 \leq \alpha \leq +2$	$-58 \le \delta \le -49$	SZ+CMB polarization+Spitzer
SPT Deep	$76 \le lpha \le 89$	$-58 \le \delta \le -49$	SZ+CMB polarization
SHELA	$14 \leq \alpha \leq 27$	$-1 \leq \delta \leq +1$	${ m Spitzer+HETDEX}$
ADFS	$66 \lesssim lpha \lesssim 75$	$-55 \lesssim \delta \lesssim -50$	Akari+Herschel
WiggleZ 1hr	$7.5 \leq \alpha \leq 20.6$	$-3.7 \le \delta \le +5.3$	Spectroscopy
DEEP2 2hr	37.5	0.0	Spectroscopy
PRIMUS	various	various	Spectroscopy at $i \leq 22^{nd}$
VVDS Shallow	-25	0.0	Spectroscopy at $i \leq 22^{th}$
VVDS Deep	32.5	-49.0	Spectroscopy at $i \leq 24^{th}$
Vipers W4	-27	2	Spectroscopy at $i \leq 22.5$
Vipers W1	30	5	Spectroscopy at $i \leq 22.5$
CFHTLS W1	$30 \le lpha \le 37.5$	$-11.3 \le \delta \le -3.5$	High quality imaging
CFHTLS D1	36.5	-4.5	High quality imaging
DEEPLens F6	32.5	-4.5	High quality imaging
DEEPLens F3	80.0	-49.0	High quality imaging
SDSS Stripe82	$-60 \le \alpha \le 60$	$-2 \leq \delta \leq +2$	High quality imaging+spectroscopy



Figure 2. The DES survey footprint along with other relevant South Galactic Cap surveys. The purple line outlines a modified 5-year DES footprint under consideration. The names of fields are given in the text and in Table 3. Grey and red areas are spectroscopic surveys. SDSS Stripe 82 is indicated by a grey cross-hatched region. Purple regions are deep intermediate-scale imaging surveys. Green areas are infrared surveys; note that the VHS covers the whole map at $\delta < 0$ and will go deeper in the DES footprint. Yellow areas are SZ surveys.





Photo-z spectroscopic follow-up: redshift completeness

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

- Spectroscopic training samples are not complete to DES photometric limit i = 24
 - Even I_{AB} < 24 VVDS-Deep sample suffers from incompleteness as functions of magnitude, color, and redshift
 - Proposal for 2012B Magellan/IMACS time to improve completeness of VVDS-Deep 02 hr field training set by effective tripling of integration time
 - Also investigating completeness vs. redshift using spectroscopic simulations (see Carlos Cunha talk)

Magellan/IMACS photo-z completeness pilot program (Frieman, Lin, Kessler, & Helsby)



Effectively triple original 4.5 hour integration time of VVDS class = 1, 50% secure redshifts

Demonstrate an increase in completeness of VVDS-Deep sample



300 targets on single IMACS mask

5650-9200 Å, very similar to original VVDS spectral coverage

Poor observing conditions in October 2011, have re-submitted proposal in April for 2012B

30 May 2012



SURVEY

Photo-z spectroscopic follow-up: "sample variance"

- "Sample variance" issue described by Cunha et al. (2012)
 - Large scale structure fluctuations cause uncertainties in training set spectroscopic redshift distributions (in a given photo-z bin): P(zspec | zphot)
 - Important systematic error in weak lensing tomography analysis: causes bias in measured dark energy equation of state parameter w and can dominate over statistical error
 - A few deep training set fields are not enough, may require substantial followup on 8-10m class telescopes, i.e., > 100 spectrograph fields, to mitigate
 - Begin to address in AAT/AAOmega 2012B program, which plans to obtain DES supernova host and other galaxy redshifts to i ~ 23, spread over 30 deg² area of DES supernova fields
 - Plan future proposal for large program on VLT/VIMOS and other telescopes
 - Detailed strategy to be worked out, with simulations, plus synergy with completeness follow-up and cross correlations



Bias in w due to photo-z's

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

Ratio of bias in w (from photo-z's) to statistical error in w



Figure 5. Bias/error ratio in the dark energy equation of state, $\delta w/\sigma(w)$, for a fixed contamination of 0.01 as a function of position in $z_{\rm p} - z_{\rm s}$ space.

Figure 6. spectroscopic redshift distribution of the whole survey (i.e. the photometric sample), $N(z_s)^{\text{phot}}$, in black, and of Patch 37, $N(z_s)^{\text{p37}}$, shown in blue.







Bias in w due to photo-z's (cont'd)



From Cunha et al. (2012)

Difference in P(zs|zp) between training and full samples

Resulting bias-toerror ratio in w

Figure 7. Biases in Patch 37. The top-row panels shows the difference of $P(z_s|z_p)$ for the photometric and calibration samples for the polynomial (top left panel) and template (top right panel) method. The bottom-row panels show the corresponding contribution to bias/error ratio in the dark energy equation of state w due to photometric redshift errors in each z_s , z_p bin. The fractional biases in w shown in the bottom row panels are equal to the product of the photometric redshifts errors (shown in the top row panels) and the sensitivity to a fixed photometric redshift (shown in Fig. 5).



DARK ENER(

SURVEY

Bias in w 6 deg² LSS Random $\Delta\chi^2_{
m med}$ $\overline{\delta w/\sigma(w)}$ Technique $\delta w / \sigma(w)$ $\Delta \chi^2_{
m med}$ σ_{68} σ_{68} 2.56 0.14 Template 0.04 3.14 0.04 0.44 Polynomial -0.07 1.53 2.04 -0.04 0.39 0.12 2.33 2.56 $p(z)_w$ 0.05 0.07 0.31 0.10 1 deg² Template 0.75 -0.04 3.75 7.36 0.01 0.92 Polynomial -0.192.96 4.74 0.00 0.93 0.64 3.99 0.50 -0.01 9.05 0.029 0.78 $p(z)_w$ $1/4 \, deg^2$ Template 0.03 4.61 16.4 -0.151.9 2.9 Polynomial -0.11 3.99 10.3 -0.17 1.7 2.2 $p(z)_w$ 0.07 5.88 32.3 -0.10 2.0 3.0

From Cunha et al. (2012)

Sample variance (LSS) causes bias-to-error ratio in w to be > 1 for typical small training set patches

Table 2. Mean fractional bias in w (i.e. mean of $\delta w/\sigma(w)$) and σ_{68} (i.e. width of the $|\delta w|/\sigma(w)$ distribution) for the different techniques, assuming patches of area 6, 1, 1/4 deg² for training and calibration or a random subsample with the same number of galaxies. The $\Delta \chi^2_{\rm med}$ column indicates the median value (among all patches) of $\Delta \chi^2_{\rm tot}$ of the fit over all cosmological parameters; see Eq. (20).







Photo-z bias error for DESpec simulation





DARK ENEF

Dias in w (with $I (\lambda_D \lambda_S)$)	Bias	in	w	(with	Ρ	$(z_p$	$ z_s))$	
---	------	----	---	-------	---	--------	----------	--

6 deg ²							
Technique	Mean	σ_{68}	$\Delta\chi^2_{ m med}$	$R(\sigma_{68})$	$R(\Delta\chi^2_{ m med})$		
Template	-0.06	0.52	0.36	0.20	0.11		
Polynomial	-0.13	0.87	0.43	0.57	0.21		
$p(z)_w$	-0.14	0.52	0.34	0.22	0.13		
1 deg ²							
Template	-0.17	1.28	1.39	0.34	0.19		
Polynomial	-0.39	1.31	1.69	0.44	0.36		
$p(z)_w$	-0.29	0.98	1.14	0.25	0.13		

Table 5. Mean and σ_{68} scatter of the fractional bias in w for the different techniques, assuming patches of area 6, 1, $1/4 \text{ deg}^2$ for training and calibration or a random subsample with the same number of galaxies. The $\Delta \chi^2_{\text{med}}$ column indicates the median $\Delta \chi^2_{\text{tot}}$ of the fit over all cosmological parameters. Results in this Table assume the true redshift distribution of the photometric sample was known, allowing us to use $P(z_p|z_s)$ instead of $P(z_s|z_p)$ as described in the text. The $R(\sigma_{68})$ shows the ratio of the σ_{68} used in this Table, to the corresponding value in Table 2.

From Cunha et al. (2012)

Using Bayes' Theorem
$$P(z_s^i|z_p^j) = P(z_p^j|z_s^i) rac{N_s^i}{N_p^j}$$

Reduced sensitivity of P(zp|zs) to sample variance may enable relaxed training set follow-up requirements



Slide from S. Lilly; see Bordoloi et al. (2010)



Photo-z spectroscopic follow-up: angular cross correlations

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

- Angular cross correlations (e.g., Matthews & Newman 2010) between spectroscopic and photometric galaxy samples
 - Get redshift distributions of galaxies fainter than easily accessible via spectroscopy, in particular to DES limit i = 24
 - Advantage that bright and even incomplete spectroscopic training sets are useful
 - Investigating performance of this technique (Helsby et al., in prep.) using DES mock galaxy catalogs to optimize follow-up scenarios (see Jen Helsby talk)
 - Combine redshift distributions from cross correlations to help with sample variance issue
 - Will examine both cross correlations and sample variance in submitted 2012B
 DES spectroscopic follow-up proposals
 - AAT/AAOmega proposal: i ~ 23, over 30 deg² area of DES supernova fields
 - Magellan/IMACS proposal: i < 22.5, with 6 masks spread over 9 deg² DES CDFS supernova field



Conclusions

DARK ENERGY SURVEY

- DES will use multiple, existing spectroscopic surveys to provide training sets to calibrate photo-z's
 - VVDS-Deep provides photo-z calibrations early on for DES
- DES photo-z spectroscopic follow-up plans focused on issues of
 - Redshift completeness
 - Sample variance
 - Cross correlations
- Have submitted small-scale 2012B proposals to Magellan and AAT
- Detailed strategy for larger-scale proposals are being developed and optimized in context of photo-z, SN, and other science
- DESpec can provide training sets over large areas of sky and help mitigate sample variance/large scale structure issues for DES photo-z calibrations