



EDELWEISS-II WIMP search at low energy based on arXiv :1207.1815 (submitted to PRD rapid comm.)

Véronique SANGLARD sanglard@ipnl.in2p3.fr IPNL/IN2P3/UCBL-1



EDELWEISS detectors – ID



EDELWEISS detectors – ID







Surface events rejection:

- intentional ²¹⁰Pb source: 6 x 10⁴ events total
- requiring no signal on veto electrodes: 1 event left
- → rejection factor for surface events of 6 x 10⁻⁵ (90% CL)

Results for « standard » analysis

- Data taken in 2009-2010 with ID detectors (10 x 400 g)
- Quality cuts :
 - Noisy periods (baseline measurement)
 - Pulse-fit chisquare (χ^2)
 - Fiducial selection (ionisation)
- Results :
 - 384 kg.d fiducial exposure
 - 5 evts in WIMP box selection :
 - 20 < E_R < 200 keV
 - NR recoil band @ 90 %



- Limits (using « Optimal Interval Method) : σ < 4.4 10⁻⁸ pb for Mχ = 85 GeV/c²
- Phys. Lett. B **702** (2011) 329

Motivations for low energy analysis

- Search for WIMP induced nuclear recoils below 20 keV with 2009-2010 ID data
- New analysis Independent results
- We are sensitive to NRs below 20 keV down to 5 keV
- Sub-keV baseline resolutions on some detectors
 - Heat : 0.8 keV
 - Ionisation : 0.7 keV
- But background discrimination and efficiency control are more difficult



IDM 2012 - EDELWEISS-II WIMP search at low energy - v.SANGLARD - 2012/07/2

Data selection

- Rejection of bad detectors for the present analysis
 - Missing electrode, ²¹⁰Pb pollution, large low-energy gamma background
 - Keep only 4 detectors
- Remove noisy periods
 - Cut on the baseline of each channel
- Cut on heat pulse-fit χ^2 (99 % efficiency)
- Remove coincidences (99.8 % efficiency)
- Fiducial selection
 - No signal on vetos, no signal on guards
 - Independent energy efficiency No difference between fiducial electrodes
- $\Delta t < 30 \ \mu s$ for pulse on fiducial electrode (rejection of ionisationless evt)
- Combined fiducial $E_{ion} > 5\sigma$

Fiducial exposure : 113 kg.d

LWEISS-II WIMP search at low energy - V.SANGLARD - 2012/07/23 IDM 2012 - ED

Efficiency of the ionisation cut

- Ionisation cuts = energy dependent cuts
 - $E_{ion} > 1.4 1.9 \text{ keV}$
 - Δt < 30 μs
- Use neutron calibration :
 - Low energy evts
 - WIMP like pulses
- Black curve : before cut
- Red curve : after ionisation cut
- 50% efficiency at 2 keV
- Compatible results obtain with γ calibration





• For an electron recoil, E_{R} overestimates energy by factor 2

ipni

16

- Low energy analysis \implies search for NRs near threshold ¹³³Ba calibration for ID3 Fiducial ionization (keVee, 1 Need an estimator with a very good (gaussian) resolution Assumption : events due to NR $E_{heat} = \frac{E_{rec}}{1 + V/3} \left(1 + \frac{V}{3} \ 0.16 \ E_{rec}^{0.18} \right)$ New presentation in (E_{ion}, E_{heat}) plane Errors on E_{ion} and E_{heat} are independent 16 Heat energy (keV, NR scale)
- For an electron recoil, E_R overestimates energy by factor 2

Online efficiency

- Online threshold induces an efficiency dependence on E_{heat}
- Trigger threshold vary during run depending on noise conditions
- Method tested with γ calibration
- Trigger efficiency for WIMP search :
 - 78 % @ 5 keV
 - 90 % @ 6.3 keV



 $\epsilon_{\text{online}}(E_r) = 0.5[1 + \text{Erf}((E_r - E_{\text{thresh}})/\sigma\sqrt{2})]$



Estimated background

- Ionisationless events :
 - rejection factor of the ionisation cut = 10^{-6}
 - negligible contribution
- Neutron < 1.7 evt for $E_R = 5-20 \text{ keV}$
 - MC simulation + activity measurements of material
 - Most probable value ~ 1 evt
- Fiducial gamma background < 1.2 evt
 - 2.5 % of observed gamma in energy range where WIMP search region is limited by 95 % gamma rejection cut
- Surface events
 - Events rejected
 - No measured rejection factor in the range 5-20 keV

Results

- Events recorded in 113 kg.d
- In gray = neutron calibration
- In blue = event in WIMP search region
- Blue lines = 95 % gamma-ray rejection
- Events recorded compatible with expected background



WIMP search region definition

- 1) WIMP induced NR spectrum as a function of ER
- 2) Taking into account E_{heat} and E_{ion} thresholds ↔ taking into account resolutions on fiducial ionisation (ER scale) and heat (NR scale)
- 3) We obtain WIMP signal density in the (E_R, E_{ion}) plane
- WIMP search region = region containing 90 % of WIMP signal density below gamma rejection cut (red curve)



Other detectors results



Limits on σ_{SI} as a function of M_{χ}

- Add events in the four detectors located in the WIMP search region
- Compute 90 % CL limit with Poisson statistics $\frac{1}{2}$ for M_{χ} = 7-30 GeV/c²
- All events considered as WIMP candidate (no background subtraction)
- Results extends significantly sensitivity of EDELWEISS-II @ low mass



Conclusion & Perspectives

- ID detectors have good resolutions, excellent particle discrimination and efficiency @ low energy to make an analysis for low WIMP mass
- Almost background-free study
- Good test of the surface event rejection @ low energy for ID detectors
- Results extends significantly sensitivity of EDELWEISS down to $M_{\chi} = 7 \text{ GeV/c}^2$
- FID, new generation of EDELWEISS detectors have better heat and ionisation resolutions improvement of the limit @ low mass