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Detector: CCD readout

Charge coupling makes the detectors ideal for low noise measurements, typical noise for scientific CCDs is $2e^{-}$ RMS (7.6eV). Very recent work pushing this to “0” noise.
Recent developments by the MSL group at LBNL has allowed the fabrication for “massive” CCDs. 675 um is now possible.
CONNIE 2014-2015 sensor:
The noise is determined by the capacitance of the output node. The active pixels are decoupled from the readout node!
CONNIE-DAMIC 2016 sensors

675 um thick CCD
Developed by LBNL Microsystems LAB

4k x 4k
15 x 15 um pixels

4 amplifiers
2e- noise
low background package

16 Mpix — 6g
muons, electrons and diffusion limited hits.

Nuclear recoils will produce diffusion limited hits. Neutrinos from reactor are expected to produce nuclear recoils at a rate of 10,000 per day for each kilogram of detector.
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Nuclear Recoil Calibration (A. Chavarria et al.) @UChicago

FIG. 2. Measured ionization spectra with the full BeO and Al targets (dashed lines). Solid markers represent the spectra corrected for the energy-dependent event selection acceptance. The inset shows the spectra in the 5.0–7.5 keV$_{ee}$ range, with in-run calibration lines from fluorescence x rays originating in the stainless steel of the vacuum chamber.

FIG. 4. Ionization spectrum of nuclear recoils induced by neutrons from the full BeO target source (black markers) and best fit to the data (solid line). The fitting function was obtained by applying a cubic spline model $f$ of the nuclear recoil ionization efficiency to the simulated recoil spectrum and convolving with the detector energy resolution. The best-fit parameters of the spline are given in the legend.

involving “Juan El Malo”. --
Nuclear Recoil Calibration (F. Izraelevitch et al)

Complete calibration down to our threshold for CONNIE.
Angra II Reactor. 4GW thermal power plant, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
**CONNIE rates**

Energy spectra in silicon detectors

![Graph showing energy spectra in silicon detectors]

from nuclear recoils with quenching factor with selection efficiency


Total number of events vs threshold energy for different quenching factors

Total events vs max. detectable recoil for $Q=1$

$Q = 0.20$

Expected number of events (event/kg/day)

$E_{\text{th}} = 5.5 \text{ eV (1}\sigma_{\text{RMS}}\text{)}$ \quad \sim 28.3

$E_{\text{th}} = 28 \text{ eV (5}\sigma_{\text{RMS}}\text{)}$ \quad \sim 18.1
our site

shield (poly + lead)

ccd array

5.7 g CCD (one of 14)

shield assembly

2016-2017 run

originally we developed this technology for DAMIC (dark matter search)
The reactor typically has one month shutdown every 13 months. This is the 2015 shutdown.
2015 engineering run
FNAL-LDRD program (1g active mass, 1 CCD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactor</th>
<th>counts (7.8-8.2 keV)</th>
<th>exposure (day)</th>
<th>rate (counts/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RON</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>38.5 ± 1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROFF</td>
<td>557</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>37.6 ± 1.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graphs showing data distributions for RON and ROFF.
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2015: no excess

2D event modeling (Likelihood)

efficiency for the detection of neutrino events and rejection of surface events using 2D model.

Figure 17: Same as Fig.16, the error bars correspond to 68.27% probability assuming a Poisson distribution for each energy bin.
Exposure increase x 130:

Reactor OFF exposure 1.9 kg-day (30 days \times 80 \text{ g} \times 0.8 \text{ eff}) compared to 15 d-day in 2015.

• Lower noise
• Lower background

(we are not ready to show the low energy results, but will try to share our excitement about this data)
2015 we had a $2.2\times 10^{-6}$ noise in the best CCD for the active area of the detector. This means that we had dark current, or IR photons hitting the detectors.

This 10% decrease in the noise is a big deal. It corresponds to $\sim 10$ increase in the rate of noise hits at $\sim 35$ eV.

The histogram above also shows the hits from real tracks.
background improvement in the new configuration. We eliminated ceramic spacer (AlN) in the detector package. This eliminated all the ~15 keV lines produced by the U and Th decays. It also lowered the background significantly.

The bump from muon tracks is now at 250 keV because we went from 250um silicon to 675 um.
Comparing Reactor ON/OFF backgrounds.

the muon flux is not the same, it is higher when the reactor is OFF. Makes sense due to weather.

fluorescence X-rays are the same reactor ON/OFF. This point to a stable gamma background.
For the efficiency calculation, we added simulated nuclear recoils (uniformly distributed inside the CCD) to the reactor OFF data.
n0>1  (2 or more) pixels above threshold.

conservative analysis
n0>2  (3 or more) pixels above threshold.
2016-2017 data
Preliminary

For this analysis we selected the detectors with less “cosmetic” defects.

Exposure $\text{RON} = 704$ g-day
Exposure $\text{ROFF} = 339$ g-day

$n \geq 2$

Data collected between September 2016 and Feb 2017.
2016-2017 data
Preliminary

For this analysis we selected the detectors with less “cosmetic” defects.

Exposure RON = 704 g-day
Exposure ROFF = 339 g-day

$n_0 > 2$

Data collected between September 2016 and Feb 2017.

big improvement over engineering run 2015-2016
now selecting the low energy events….

• For each muon measure make a measurement of charge diffusion in the CCD
• Using all the muons in a 10 day period make a model for the detector
• Use this model to eliminate surface events.

coming soon…
now selecting the low energy events…

- Need to improve our low energy extraction
- Higher efficiency can be get using binning, with the drawback of loosing spatial information of the events.

Likelihood measures the probability of the event being compatible with a noise fluctuation. Selection cut in this likelihood variable.
studying systematic effects in this region. Statistical error now is ~ 700 dru! (only using 2016-2017 data)

- SM rate is 40 dru @ 200eV and goes up very quickly at lower E.
- Statistics going up fast.
- Modeling background and doing a subtraction with full statistical power of the Reactor ON time is the next improvement.
operation improvements in CONNIE: statistics going up fast. By this time next year we will have 5 times the statistics.
Until now we have been doing RON-ROFF analysis. This is limited by the ROFF statistics.

Now with full geant4 simulations doing modeling the background. **With a solid background model the statistic uncertainty drops by a factor of 10!!!**
Looking at this interesting opportunity. Thanks Rupak!
Conclusion:

• Interesting results coming soon… analysis almost done.

• Big plans (talk tomorrow by Javier):
  
  • R&D ongoing to increase in mass by x200 (FNAL LDRDx2, ECA, QIS)
  
  • new sensors demonstrated a reduction in threshold by a factor of 10