Galaxy Formation Physics Revealed by Faint Stellar Systems Near and Far



Mike Boylan-Kolchin



The University of Texas at Austin

Why look for near-far connections?

- The nearby Universe is the *only* place where we can directly study the faintest stellar systems
- Conditions in the lowest mass, lowest metallicity regions nearby may reflect those of the earliest phases of star formation in the Universe
- These connections give us a way to understand galaxy *evolution*, not just a single snapshot



What can we learn by studying near-far connections?

- Reionization's effects on dwarf galaxies and dwarf galaxies' contributions to reionization
- Conditions of star formation leading to systems of similar M_{\star} but vastly different properties
- Surviving versus disrupted galaxies and star clusters



The Local Group at z = 0: a highly biased view of the Universe



The Local Group came from matter within a $r \sim 5$ comoving Mpc sphere



co-moving size of Local Group

The Local Group came from matter within a $r \sim 5$ comoving Mpc sphere



For most of the history of the Universe, the progenitors of the Local Group cover a larger area on the sky than the *Hubble* UDF

The Local Group is unique in its ability to reveal galaxy evolution



z = 7

The Local Group: galaxy evolution on a galaxy-by-galaxy basis in thin *z*-slices ($\Delta z \sim 0.02$ at z = 7) with area similar to HUDF/NIRCam

The faint galaxy population of the Local Group is representative* of the Universe



*in some ways; we would like to understand this better

Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2016

What are the scales relevant for galaxy formation?



The field should be littered with reionization-quenched ultra-faints

circles: halos above atomic cooling limit at z = 8 with a descendant at z = 0



Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2015



Nadler et al. 2020

Reconstructing Local Group galaxies at high redshifts



Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2015















Reconstructing Local Group galaxies at high redshifts

broken LF → match Local Group counts (still get reionization)

Boylan-Kolchin et al. 2015







Simulations see a redshift-dependent flattening



Feldmann et al. 2024

Dwarfs are crucial for the reionization budget



Wu & Kravtsov 2024

What about star clusters?



Simon 2019

Globular cluster formation epoch starts early

Individual star clusters with $r \sim 1 \text{ pc}$, $M_{\star} \sim 10^6 M_{\odot}$ in a z = 10 galaxy Clusters constitute ~30% of the total stellar mass ($M_{\star,\text{tot}} \approx 2.5 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$)



Bradley et al. 2024, Adamo et al. 2024

Formation of a globular cluster (?) in a dwarf galaxy at $z \approx 11$



Sameie et al. 2023

Cluster formation precedes galaxy formation



Sameie et al. 2023



Halo and galaxy tracking is an issue



Kong et al. (in preparation)



maio and galaxy tracking is an issue



Kong et al. (in preparation)



maio and galaxy tracking is an issue



Affects predictions for massive subhalos near halo centers



Some of the major questions (according to me)

- Can we definitively show that reionization suppression explains the missing satellites?
- What leads to two modes of star formation at high redshift in similarly massive systems (GCs and ~Draco-mass dwarfs)?
- Are our standard numerical tools up to the challenge in the LSST era?

What are the scales relevant for galaxy formation?



Nadler et al. 2020