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DM Annihilation

Annihilation cross-section from EFT (CKW, previous talk).

50 operators $\Lambda^{4-d} J_{DM} \cdot J_{SM}$ where $J_{SM}, J_{DM}$ gauge singlets.

Up to mass-dimension 8.

$2 \rightarrow 2$.

DM DM $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma, \gamma Z, \gamma h, W^+W^-, ZZ, Z h, h h$, and $f \bar{f}$.

$\sigma v$ tabulated in CKW.

$s$-channel $Z/\gamma$ exchange
DM Annihilation

DM is Dirac fermion or complex scalar
(some EFT operators vanish identically for Majorana).

Annihilation proceeds by one operator only.

Present-day annihilation is non-relativistic.

Cross-section expandable in powers of relative velocity of
DM particles as \( \sigma v = a + b v^2 \Rightarrow \langle \sigma v \rangle = a + 6b \frac{T}{M} \)

Only s-wave relevant for photon signal \((T \ll M)\)
34 operators. No constraints on other 16.
Fixing the EFT scale

Cross-section not uniquely fixed by operator choice:

\[ a = \Lambda^{-2(d-4)} \tilde{a}(M) \quad \text{and} \quad b = \Lambda^{-2(d-4)} \tilde{b}(M) \]

Two free parameters in low-energy EFT:
DM mass, \( M \)
EFT scale parameter, \( \Lambda \)

Scan over \( M \).

Fix the EFT scale parameter:
DM is a cold thermal relic WIMP with \( \Omega_{DM} h^2 = 0.12 \).

Planck [1303.5076]
Photon flux

The differential photon flux measured experimentally is

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dE d\Omega} = \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{16\pi M^2} \times J(\theta) \times \frac{dN_\gamma}{dE}$$

$$J(\theta) \equiv \int_{\text{LOS}} \rho^2 [r(s, l, b)] \, ds$$

DM mass distribution (halo profile)

Per-annihilation photon spectrum: From Pythia (8.176)
DM Halo profile

Need to understand the DM distribution $\rho$ to convert flux into a cross-section. Not very well understood:

Choice of profile and normalisation are a source of large systematic uncertainty

Normalisation from fits to galactic dynamics and microlensing data.

[Iocco et al. 1107.5810]
Flux Limits

**Lines**

Applicable to cases where DM annihilates to $\gamma X \ (X = \gamma, Z, h)$ final states.


Only single line assumed. Some operators have two...

Strict enough to be constraining up to multi-TeV DM mass if large BR to lines.
Flux Limits (cont.)

**Inclusive**

\[ \Phi \propto \frac{\langle \sigma v \rangle}{M^2} \int \frac{dN_\gamma}{dE_\gamma} dE_\gamma \]

Applicable to any final state giving photons.

Hooper et al. [1209.3015] gives constraints on fluxes of photons in bins 0.1-0.3GeV, 0.3-1GeV, 1-10GeV, 10-100GeV.

Higher energy bins more constraining.

Strict enough to be constraining up a (few) hundred GeV in DM mass.
Methodology

Choice of DM Mass M

**Experiment**

- Measured flux upper limit
- Assumed halo profile
- Per-annihilation photon spectrum

**Theory**

- Choice of EFT annihilation operator
- Cold thermal relic WIMP assumption

\[
\langle \sigma v \rangle \rightarrow \text{Required value}
\]
Results

Results can be classified by, and understood in terms of, the structure of operators.

13 qualitatively distinct categories of limit behaviour.

Will focus on illustrative examples of some interesting classes...

In the paper

BR, photon spectrum, Fermi-LAT line limits, HESS line limits, inclusive limits, ratio limits (not discussed here)
\[ \Lambda^{-4} \overline{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \chi \left( \tilde{B}_{\lambda \mu} Y_{\bar{H}} H^\dagger D^\lambda H + \text{h.c.} \right) \]

Annihilation cross-sections for all allowed channels

\[ \sigma v \left[ \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \right] \]

\[ M \left[ \text{GeV} \right] \]

\( \gamma h \)

\( Zh \)
\[ \Lambda^{-4} \tilde{\chi} \gamma^\mu \chi \left( \tilde{B}_\lambda \chi^\mu Y_H H^\dagger D^\lambda H + \text{h.c.} \right) \]

\( \gamma h \) line limits \( \text{-:-} \) Fermi-LAT [1305.5597]

Photon line: Weniger [1204.2797]
\[ \Lambda^{-4} \bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \chi \left( \tilde{B}_\chi Y_H H^\dagger D^\chi H + \text{h.c.} \right) \]

\[ \gamma h \text{ line limits} \quad \text{--:- HESS [1301.1173]} \]

Isothermal profile limits lie in the band \(10^{-25} - 10^{-23} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}\).
\[
\Lambda^{-4} \bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \chi \left( \tilde{B}_{\lambda \mu} Y_H H^\dagger D^\lambda H + \text{h.c.} \right)
\]

Inclusive limits

Hooper et al. GC [1209.3015] -:- Fermi-LAT dwarf [1108.3546]
\[ \Lambda^{-4} \bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \chi \left( \widetilde{W}_{\chi\mu}^a H^+ t^a D^\lambda H + \text{h.c.} \right) \]

Annihilation cross-sections for all allowed channels

\[ \sigma v [\text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}] \]

\[ M [\text{GeV}] \]

\[ \Lambda^{-4} \bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \chi \left( \widetilde{W}_{\chi\mu}^a H^+ t^a D^\lambda H + \text{h.c.} \right) \]
\[ \Lambda^{-4} \, \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \chi \left( \bar{W}^a_{\chi \mu} \, H^+ t^a D^\lambda H + \text{h.c.} \right) \]
$$\Lambda^{-4} \bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \chi \left( \widetilde{W}_\chi^a H^+ t^a D^\chi H + \text{h.c.} \right)$$

Inclusive limits
Hooper et al. GC [1209.3015] -:- Fermi-LAT dwarf [1108.3546]
$\Lambda^{-4} \tilde{\chi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \chi^i \left( B_{\lambda \mu} Y_H H^\dagger D^\lambda H - \text{h.c.} \right)$

Annihilation cross-sections for all allowed channels

\[ \sigma \nu [\text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1}] \]

\[ M [\text{GeV}] \]

- $\gamma Z$
- $ZZ$
\[ \Lambda^{-4} \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu 5} \chi^i \left( B_{\lambda \mu} Y_H H^\dagger D^{\lambda H} - h.c. \right) \]

**\( \gamma Z \) line limits :-: Fermi-LAT [1305.5597]**

Photon line: Weniger [1204.2797]
\[ \Lambda^{-4} \bar{\chi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \chi \ i \left( B_{\lambda \mu} \ Y_H H^\dagger \ D^\lambda H - \text{h.c.} \right) \]

**\( \gamma Z \) line limits -:- HESS [1301.1173]**

Isothermal profile limits lie in the band \( 10^{-25} - 10^{-23} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} \).
$\Lambda^{-3} \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu\nu} \chi B_{\mu\nu} Y_H H^\dagger H$

Annihilation cross-sections for all allowed channels
\[ \Lambda^{-3} \overline{\chi} \gamma_{\mu\nu} \chi B_{\mu\nu} Y_H H H^\dagger \]

\[ \gamma h \text{ line limits} \text{ -:- Fermi-LAT [1305.5597]} \]

Photon line: Weniger [1204.2797]
Inclusive limits

Hooper et al. GC [1209.3015] :: Fermi-LAT dwarf [1108.3546]
Conclusions

Examined GC photon constraints on 34 EFT operators.

Limit results depend on structure of operator.

Line limits already quite constraining on applicable operators.

Inclusive limits weaker, but beginning to reach required sensitivity.

Some operators ruled out, but many operators remain viable... look at complementary searches.

Reach projections: factor of 3-5 improvement in next generation. Will be able to rule out larger mass ranges. [Bergström et al 1207.6773]
Backup
Inverse Compton Scattering

Inclusive limits -- Hooper et al. GC [1209.3015]

Required for relic density
NFW with ICS
NFW without ICS
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Photon Spectra

Per-annihilation photon spectrum (no ICS)

Monochromatic $\gamma\gamma$ line at $E_\gamma = M_{DM}$ omitted

$\Lambda^{-2} \phi^\dagger \phi B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}$

$W^+W^-$ annihilation mode

$B\mu\bar{\mu}$

Per-annihilation photon spectrum (no ICS)

$\Lambda^{-1} \chi\gamma^{\mu\nu} \chi B_{\mu\nu}$

$bb$ annihilation mode

$B\mu\bar{\mu}$
\[ \Lambda^{-3} \bar{\chi} i \gamma^5 \chi B_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{B}^{\mu\nu} \]

Annihilation cross-sections for all allowed channels

\[ \sigma \nu \binom{[cm^3 s^{-1}]}{M \text{ [GeV]}} \]
\[ \Lambda^{-3} \bar{\chi} i\gamma^5 \chi B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} \]

Combined $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma Z$ line limits -- Fermi-LAT [1305.5597]

Photon line: Weniger [1204.2797], Cohen et al. [1207.0800]
\[ \Lambda^{-3} \bar{\chi} i \gamma^5 \chi B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \]

Inclusive limits
Hooper et al. GC [1209.3015] -:- Fermi-LAT dwarf [1108.3546]