

Cosmology with a \sim billion spectra

Elisabeth Krause (Stanford)

Cosmology with a \sim billion spectra

Deep, wide, dense spectroscopic survey

- Most detailed map of galaxy distribution
 - enables splits by environment
 - cross-correlation science
- Measure galaxy power spectrum really, really well
 - non-linear scales for $z < 1.5$
 - linear scales for $1.5 < z < 3.25$
 - power spectrum as function of galaxy type
- Unprecedented signal-to-noise in higher-order statistics

Cosmology with a ~billion spectra

- Measure galaxy power spectrum really, really well
 - non-linear scales for $z < 1.5$
 - linear scales for $1.5 < z < 3.25$
 - power spectrum as function of galaxy type





Figure 1. Fractional error in the power spectrum on linear scales ($k = 0.2h\text{Mpc}^{-1}$) that quantifies inhomogeneities for various redshifts as a function of the number of objects surveyed. The dots are projections for DESI: at $z = 1$ DESI will be within a factor of 3 of the ultimate error, but at higher redshift, there is at least of factor of ten more information to be mined by future surveys. LSST will measure many more objects but will have imperfect radial information so therefore less effective information per object.

Cosmology with a \sim billion spectra

- Cosmology parameters from RSD power spectrum
lots of information left in the sky



5.4 High Resolution Spectroscopy of a Billion Objects

A most ambitious project would be one that obtained high resolution spectra of a large fraction of LSST objects. Such a *Billion Object Apparatus* (BOA) would come close to attaining the parameter improvements depicted in the right panel of Fig. 1 and open up many avenues for new discoveries. Here we outline some

from cosmic visions report
assumes $k_{\max} = 0.5 \text{ h/Mpc}$

Cosmology with a \sim billion spectra


- Cosmology parameters from RSD power spectrum
- Parameter space may evolve with Stage III, Stage IV results
-> and with theory developments!
- Requires precise models for BAO scale, galaxy power spectrum to $k \sim 0.5$ h/Mpc

Cosmology with a ~billion spectra

- Cosmology parameters from RSD power spectrum
- Parameter space may evolve with Stage III, Stage IV results
-> and with theory developments!
- Requires precise models for BAO scale, galaxy power spectrum to $k \sim 0.5$ h/Mpc

Linear bias won't get us there!

Galaxy biasing complex research area - here's just one example:




Relative velocity effect
(Tseliakhovich & Hirata 2010)
gives rise to galaxy velocity bias
terms, shifts BAO scale (Dalal
+2010, Yoo+2011,...)

Cosmology with a \sim billion spectra

- Cosmology parameters from RSD power spectrum
- Parameter space may evolve with Stage III, Stage IV results
-> and with theory developments!
- Requires precise models for BAO scale, galaxy power spectrum to $k \sim 0.5$ h/Mpc
- high number density of BOA enables validation of bias models
precise $P(k)$ measurements beyond $k = 0.5$ h/Mpc
 $P(k)$ as function of galaxy type

Bispectrum, and higher-order statistics

Cosmology with a \sim billion spectra: Bispectrum



- Probability of finding three galaxies at separation (r,s,t) is given by the two, and three point correlation function

$$P_3(r, s, t) = \bar{n}^3 (1 + \xi(r) + \xi(s) + \xi(t) + \zeta(r, s, t)) dV_1 dV_2 dV_3$$

- $B(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2)$ is the Fourier transform of $\zeta(r,s,t)$, or in terms of density contrast

$$\langle \delta(\mathbf{k}_1) \delta(\mathbf{k}_2) \delta(\mathbf{k}_3) \rangle = (2\pi)^3 B(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \delta^D(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3)$$

Cosmology with a \sim billion spectra: Bispectrum

- With one billion galaxies, can measure *a lot* of triangles!
- S/N per triangle is low, need suitable bins/data compression
- in cosmic variance limit, cumulative S/N scales as

$$S/N(B) \propto k_{\max}^6 P(k_{\max}) \approx k_{\max}^4$$

- high galaxy density of BOA will enable precision Bispectrum measurements, including multi-tracer Bispectra!
- (S/N in projected Bispectra much lower, need spectra)

Cosmology with a \sim billion spectra: Bispectrum


- A toy model bispectrum

$$B_g(k_1, k_2, k_3) = b_1^3 B_m(k_1, k_2, k_3) + b_1^2 b_2 [P_m(k_1)P_m(k_2) + (2 \text{ cyclic})] + b_1^3 (\text{primordial Bispectrum})$$

non-lin. gravitational evolution

quadratic galaxy biasing

inflation



non-lin. gravitational evolution

quadratic galaxy biasing

Cosmology with a \sim billion spectra: Bispectrum

Test galaxy bias models through configuration dependence of contributions to galaxy Bispectrum

- e.g., relative velocity bias (earlier example)
 - Yoo+11, Slepian+15 predicted configuration dependence
 - Slepian+ 2016: $b_v < 0.01$ from CMASS 3pt function
- BOA Bispectra will constrain Stage V bias models




Figure 3. Scale-dependence of the full galaxy bispectrum for two triangular shapes. Three different components contribute to the full galaxy bispectrum in eq. (3.10): The nonlinear evolution of the matter density distribution (dot-dashed), the nonlinear bias (dotted), and the relative velocity effect (dashed). The cubic term in eq. (3.10) is omitted to avoid clutter. The full galaxy bispectrum is shown as solid lines. The bias parameters $b_2/b_1 = 0.1$ and $b_r/b_1 = 0.01$ are assumed.

Yoo, Dalal & Seljak 2011




Figure 8. The top panel shows the P_1 coefficient with $b_v = 0$ (equation (53)). The middle panel shows the total P_1 coefficient with velocity term included. The bottom panel shows the P_1 coefficient due to b_v alone. Note that the relative velocity subtly enhances the number of triangles with two sides $\sim r_s$ by carefully comparing the top two panels; this is made clear in the bottom panel. We have used $b_1 = 1, b_2 = 0.1, b_v = 0.01$ and weighted by $r_1^2 r_2^2 / 10^4 \text{ Mpc}^4$.

Slepian & Eisenstein 2015

Cosmology with a ~ billion spectra: Bispectrum

$$B_g(k_1, k_2, k_3) = b_1^3 B_m(k_1, k_2, k_3) \quad \text{non-lin. gravitational evolution}$$





$$+ b_1^2 b_2 [P_m(k_1)P_m(k_2) + (2 \text{ cyclic})] \quad \text{quadratic galaxy biasing}$$

$$+ b_1^3 \text{ (primordial Bispectrum)} \quad \text{inflation}$$

- High S/N Bispectra may uncover new physics
 - Measuring amplitude of primordial non-Gaussianity templates will distinguish between single/multi-field inflation, constrain slow roll
 - $\sigma(f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{local}}) \ll 1$ <- driven by scale-dep. bias, $z < 1.5$
 - $\sigma(f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{equal}}) \sim \text{a few}$ <- driven by high-z coverage
 - $\sigma(f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{isoath}}) \sim \text{a few}$
 - Anisotropic non-Gaussianity, search for features -> Cora's talk
 - Plenty of room, *and S/N*, for new ideas :)

Cosmology with a \sim billion spectra: Void Cosmology

- Voids enable tests of GR in lowest density environment
- Finding voids requires high-density spectroscopic galaxy catalog
- rapidly developing cosmological probe
 - recent measurements of void clustering (Clampitt+2016), velocity field around voids (Hamaus+2015,2016), void lensing (Melchior+2015, Clampitt+2015, Gruen+2016)
 - much recent progress on models+phenomenology, but concrete parameter space needs more development



Cosmology with a \sim billion spectra

- Parameters from Galaxy Power Spectrum
lots of information left in the power spectrum
need to understand galaxies really, really well
- Bispectrum, and higher-order statistics
galaxy bias, new physics
- Tests of GR, enabled by high galaxy density
 - void cosmology
 - screening tests -> Phil Bull's (morning) talk

Theorists, please join the BOA discussion room tomorrow!