# Constraining H<sub>0</sub> with the CMB

Jason W. Henning (KICP) October 13, 2016

## Compilation of TT and EE Measurements



## Expansion rate is defined as the change in time of the scale factor. $H(a) \equiv \frac{1}{a} \frac{da}{dt}$

Friedmann equation tells us how to relate expansion rate to content.

$$H^{2}(a) = H_{0}^{2} \left[ \Omega_{\gamma} a^{-4} + (\Omega_{c} + \Omega_{b}) a^{-3} + \Omega_{k} a^{-2} + \Omega_{\Lambda} \right]$$

Ratios of constituent densities to critical density

Friedmann equation tells us how to relate expansion rate to content.

$$H^{2}(a) = H_{0}^{2} \left[ \Omega_{\gamma} a^{-4} + (\Omega_{c} + \Omega_{b}) a^{-3} + \Omega_{k} a^{-2} + \Omega_{\Lambda} \right]$$

Ratios of constituent densities to critical density

- Radiation content known from COBE.
- Relative heights of odd/even CMB peaks gives baryon content.
- Height of 2nd/3rd acoustic peaks helps constrain dark matter.
- ... and much more phenomenology that provides additional measurements and consistency tests.

Still must constrain curvature, dark energy, and the expansion rate today...

... measure the angular scales of the sound horizon and diffusion length at recombination.

#### **Primary CMB anisotropy - 9 harmonics** Improves precision of sound horizon, θ<sub>s</sub>, & provides larger lever arm



Position (not height matters) = robust to systematics.

From J. Carlstrom

## And most importantly provides determination of the damping scale, $\theta_d$



#### Assuming a flat universe...

...(H<sub>0</sub> is highly model-dependent)



Planck 2013, arXiv:1303.5076v3





#### CMB Lensing works: Detecting Dark Energy using only the CMB



Slide from R. Keisler

Detection of CMB lensing in TT power spectrum breaks geometric degeneracy between curvature and dark energy.

 $\theta_* = \frac{r_*}{D_A} \longrightarrow$  High matter forces lower H<sub>0</sub>.

... assuming ACDM model.





**Fig. 17.** MCMC samples and contours in the  $r_*-\Omega_m h^2$  plane (left) and the  $D_A(z_*)-\Omega_m h^2$  plane (right) for  $\Lambda$ CDM models analysed with *Planck*+WP+highL. The lines in these plots show the expected degeneracy directions in the base  $\Lambda$ CDM cosmology. Samples are colour-coded by the values of  $\Omega_b h^2$  (left) and  $H_0$  (right).

- NOT measurement of sound horizon (on full sky, anyway) but small surveys need to be careful.
  - Aberration
  - Super-sample CMB lensing



#### Effect of Aberration on ACTPol constraints

FIG. 14.— Effect of aberration on the TT and EE CMB power spectra due to our proper motion with respect to the CMB. Our aberrated simulations agree with the analytical estimate of the expected effect.



FIG. 17.— Effect of aberration, due to our proper motion with respect to the CMB, on the peak position parameter  $\theta$ . The corrected power spectrum results in a  $0.5\sigma$  decrease in the peak position.

Louis, et al. 2016, arXiv: 1610.02360v1

• Systematic affecting constraints on matter, etc?



• Use polarization for consistency checks



Use polarization for consistency checks



FIG. 21.—  $\Lambda$ CDM parameters as measured by different ACTPol spectra, sampled directly (top) and derived (bottom). The TE spectrum now provides the strongest internal ACTPol constraint on the baryon density, peak position, and Hubble constant.

#### Louis, et al. 2016, arXiv: 1610.02360v1

Assumption(s) in ACDM model are wrong?



Damping tail measurements inform us about neutrino and helium content, but these are also degenerate with H<sub>0</sub>.