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Basics of photo-z’s

• Probe strong spectral features (4000 Å break)

• Flux in each filter depends on galaxy’s type and redshift.
Two classes of methods:

- **Template-fitting**: compare observed fluxes with predicted fluxes from library of galaxy spectra.

- **Training set**: use subsample with known redshifts to “train” flux-redshift relation.
What **not** to do (but is often done)

- **Training set methods**: determine functional relation between photometric observables, \(m\), and \(z_{\text{phot}}\) using a training set

\[
z_{\text{phot}} = z_{\text{phot}}(m)
\]

- **Template-fitting methods**: choose the photo-z to be the peak of the likelihood (or posterior) distribution.
Each region of observable space (fluxes, colors) is occupied by galaxies at a broad range of redshifts.

Representing these broad redshift distributions by a single number (the peak, mean, …) is the main source of bias in photometric redshifts.
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What to do

Use full redshift distribution, $p(z)$, for every galaxy.

How do we get $p(z)$’s?

Nearest neighbors are a great tool to estimate $p(z)$’s and have many other useful applications.
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Weights

Match distributions of observables in training (spectroscopic or simulated) sample and photometric sample by assigning weights to training set galaxies.

\[ \text{Weight} \propto \frac{\rho_{\text{photo}}}{\rho_{\text{train}}} \text{ where } \rho_i = \frac{N_i}{V} \]

\(N_i\): number of galaxies within ball of volume \(V\).

The radius of the ball is determined by the distance to 100\(^{th}\) nearest neighbor in the training set in space of observables (colors and magnitudes).

**Assumption:** Training set is locally representative of photometric set.

**Is that true?** Yes, if differences in selection are only in observable space.
• Training composed of sets of galaxies with different color and magnitude cuts.
• Very different distributions from the photometric sample (sample for which there are no spectroscopic redshifts).
The recoverable sample:

- Can only estimate photo-z’s (or the redshift distribution) in regions of observable space covered by the training set, i.e. the recoverable sample.
- The recoverable sample is a by-product of the weights calculation.
Weights applications II

Estimating bias and scatter:

- Photo-z properties as a function of $z_{\text{spec}}$ (or other non-observables) **must** use the weights and **only apply** to the recoverable sample.
The weighted redshift distribution
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Representing p(z) by a single number generates photo-z biases!
Using $p(z)$ eliminates lensing calibration bias, $b_z$.

$$b_z + 1 = \frac{\Delta \Sigma}{\Delta \Sigma}$$

$b_z$: bias in differential surface density due to bias in $\Sigma_c$ due to photo-$z$ errors.

Mandelbaum et al (2008)
Conclusions

• Use \( p(z) \) not photo-z.
  - With smaller biases, degradations in constraints from uncertainties in photo-z errors are much smaller \( \Rightarrow \) weaker requirements on calibration sets.

• Weights work well but,

• Need to worry about selection in non-observables:
  - Spectroscopic failures
  - Large-scale structure fluctuations (for pencil-beam training sets).
  - Effects are amplified by photometry errors.


Public codes available at: http://kobayashi.physics.lsa.umich.edu/~ccunha/nearest/

\( p(z)'s \) for SDSS available at: http://www.sdss.org/DR7/products/value_added/index.html
Weighted scatter and bias estimates for real SDSS photo-z’s.

Cunha et al (2009)
Real SDSS
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