The Spectrum of Isotropic Diffuse Gamma-ray Emission between 100 MeV and 820 GeV



Keith Bechtol on behalf of the Fermi-LAT Collaboration



Kavli Institute for Cosmological Physics at The University of Chicago 9 June 2014 High-Energy Messengers Workshop

Fermi-LAT Collaboration





Extragalactic Background Light (EBL)







Physics of the Extragalactic Gamma-ray Background



Universe is transparent to gamma rays at energies below ~100 GeV Gamma-ray background provides cosmic census of high-energy phenomena



Blazars



GRBs



Dark matter annihilation / decay (upper limits)



Radio galaxies



Galaxy clusters (upper limits)



Unknown sources / processes



Starforming galaxies



Cascades (upper limits)

See talks on Monday afternoon

Physics of the Extragalactic Gamma-ray Background





Fermi-LAT Overview







Large Area Telescope (LAT)

Pair-conversion telescope (20 MeV to >300 GeV) with precision tracker and imaging calorimeter surrounded by a segmented anti-coincidence detector

Full-sky coverage every ~3 hours in sky-survey observation mode

5-year counts map >1GeV Reprocessed P7 Source class Front-converting events only ~1 deg resolution at 1 GeV

Gamma-ray Sky as Seen by Fermi



LAT photons above 300 MeV

Point Sources



LAT photons from Galactic emission



Fermi-LAT Individually Resolved Sources

Deeper surveys extract fainter sources → residual intensity attributed to IGRB is *observation dependent* (total EGB is *fundamental quantity*)



Contraction of the second seco

Observational Challenges



Terminology

- Isotropic Gamma-ray Background (IGRB)
- Total Extragalactic Gamma-ray Background (Total EGB)

Total EGB = IGRB + individually resolved extragalactic sources

- Detector-level background (i.e., cosmic rays + secondaries)
 Events misclassified as cosmic gamma rays
 Quasi-isotropic distribution in sky coordinates
 Cosmic-ray intensity can exceed that of IGRB by up to ~10⁶
- 2. Diffuse Galactic foreground

Comparable to IGRB intensity even at Galactic poles

20 deg wide patch 1 year, > 1 GeV

Fermi-LAT Measurement of the IGRB Spectrum from 100 MeV to 820 GeV 20 deg wide patch 5 years, > 1 GeV

Fermi-LAT Measurement of the IGRB Spectrum from 100 MeV to 820 GeV

IGRB Analysis Methodology



1. Define event selection

 Custom event selections optimized for low-energy and high-energy IGRB analysis

2. Template fitting to determine isotropic intensity

 Model gamma-ray sky as linear combination of spatialspectral templates

- 3. Subtract residual cosmic-ray background from isotropic component
- ✓ Detector-level simulation

IGRB Analysis Methodology



1. Define event selection

 Custom event selections optimized for low-energy and high-energy IGRB analysis

2. Template fitting to determine isotropic intensity

 Model gamma-ray sky as linear combination of spatialspectral templates

- 3. Subtract residual cosmic-ray background from isotropic component
- ✓ Detector-level simulation

Detector-level (Cosmic-ray) Backgrounds in Fermi's Low Earth Orbit



Particle fluxes below a few GeV are dominated by atmospheric secondaries, including actual gamma rays from the Earth's atmosphere



Since particle background composition varies significantly over LAT energy range, devise two custom event selections optimized for low-energy (<13 GeV) and high-energy (>13 GeV) IGRB analysis

Exposure with Specialized Event Selections





50 months of sky-survey observations

"Low-energy" and "high-energy" event samples both cover full energy range to allow consistency checks in overlap

Corresponding cosmic-ray background event rates estimated via a dedicated large-scale Monte Carlo simulation

Relative to standard P7 Ultraclean selection, cosmic-ray background rate reduced by factor 3 around 200 MeV (where background rate is highest) and acceptance increased >500 GeV

Galactic Foreground Mask



Exclude lines of sight with significant column densities of molecular gas and/or atomic hydrogen beyond local Solar neighborhood (17% of all-sky solid angle)



Counts map >0.1 GeV with mask applied

IGRB Analysis Methodology



1. Define event selection

 Custom event selections optimized for low-energy and high-energy IGRB analysis

2. Template fitting to determine isotropic intensity

 Model gamma-ray sky as
 linear combination of spatialspectral templates

- 3. Subtract residual cosmic-ray background from isotropic component
- ✓ Detector-level simulation

Template Fitting Procedure (Maximum Likelihood)





Template Fitting Results





Average intensities at Galactic latitudes |b| > 20 deg attributed to each model component for **baseline Galactic** foreground model

Error bars include statistical uncertainty and systematic uncertainty from LAT effective area parameterization





Good agreement between baseline Galactic foreground model spectral shapes and fit to LAT data above few GeV

Bin-by-bin fit can partially compensate for spectral deviations from model, but model over-prediction below 1 GeV may indicate incomplete understanding of cosmic-ray source distribution, interstellar radiation field, etc.

Motivates consideration of alternative Galactic foreground models



Model A (baseline): Similar to models in Ackermann et al. 2012, ApJ, 750, 3
 Model B: Add population of electron-only sources near Galactic center
 Model C: Vary cosmic-ray diffusion rate with Galactocentric height and radius





Model A (baseline): Similar to models in Ackermann et al. 2012, ApJ, 750, 3
 Model B: Add population of electron-only sources near Galactic center
 Model C: Vary cosmic-ray diffusion rate with Galactocentric height and radius



Systematic Uncertainty from Galactic Foreground: Additional Systematic Checks



Test the following variants for baseline Galactic foreground model A

- Increase radiation field in Galactic bulge (×10)
- Lower Galactic random magnetic field strength (from 7.5 to 3 μG)
- Test plain diffusion model without reacceleration
- Different cosmic-ray source radial distribution (SNRs vs. pulsars)
- Variation in cosmic-ray halo size (4 or 10 kpc vs. 5 kpc)
- Test two different templates for the "Fermi Bubbles"
- Vary dust to atomic hydrogen ratio (±10%)

Check consistency of IGRB intensity inferred from distinct regions

- Inner / outer Galactic hemispheres
- North / south Galactic hemispheres

IGRB Analysis Methodology



1. Define event selection

 Custom event selections optimized for low-energy and high-energy IGRB analysis

2. Template fitting to determine isotropic intensity

 Model gamma-ray sky as linear combination of spatialspectral templates

- 3. Subtract residual cosmic-ray background from isotropic component
- ✓ Detector-level simulation

Residual Cosmic-ray Backgrounds



Extensive detector-level simulations used for initial estimate, then rates adjusted to match distributions of reconstructed event properties found in on-orbit data. Difference between raw simulation and data-driven approach < 35% over all energies.



Subtract Cosmic-ray Backgrounds





IGRB intensity determined by subtracting residual cosmic-ray background from isotropic component in each energy bin

Uncertainties reflect statistical uncertainty from template fitting, systematic uncertainty in LAT effective area, and systematic uncertainty in residual cosmic-ray background levels

Baseline Galactic foreground model A 26

Isotropic Gamma-ray Background



Systematic uncertainty from Galactic foreground represented by yellow band



IGRB Parametric Fit Results: A significant High-energy Cutoff



$$\frac{dN}{dE} = I_{100} \left(\frac{E}{100 \text{ MeV}}\right)^{-\gamma} \exp\left(\frac{-E}{E_{\text{cut}}}\right)^{-\gamma}$$

→ Systematic uncertainties dominate over most of energy range, so χ^2 values cannot be easily interpreted in terms of statistical significance

Galactic Foreground Model	ا ₁₀₀ [MeV ⁻¹ cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ sr ⁻¹]	γ	E _{Cut}	I _{>100} [cm ⁻² s ⁻¹ sr ⁻¹]	χ ² / ndof Power Law Exponential Cutoff	χ ² / ndof Power Law
A	(0.95 ± 0.08) × 10 ⁻⁷	2.32 ± 0.02	279 ± 52	(7.2 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻⁶	13.9 / 23	87.5 / 25
В	(1.12 ± 0.08) × 10 ⁻⁷	2.28 ± 0.02	206 ± 31	(8.7 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻⁶	7.9 / 23	151 / 24
С	(0.78 ± 0.07) × 10 ⁻⁷	2.26 ± 0.02	233 ± 41	(6.2 ± 0.6) × 10 ⁻⁶	10.7 / 23	106.5 / 24

Summarizing Galactic foreground systematic studies, fitted normalization of the IGRB varies by +15% / -30% with respect to foreground model A, power law slope varies between 2.26 – 2.34, and cutoff energy varies between 206 – 374 GeV

Total Extragalactic Gamma-ray Background

Contraction of the second seco

Systematic uncertainty from Galactic foreground represented by yellow band



High-energy Extragalactic Background Light





20 deg wide patch 5 years, > 1 GeV

Origin of the Extragalactic Gamma-ray Background

Simple Source Population Scenarios with EBL Attenuation and Secondary Cascades





Simple Source Population Scenarios with EBL Attenuation and Secondary Cascades





33



- Updated LAT measurement of IGRB spectrum
 - − Extended energy range: 200 MeV − 100 GeV → 100 MeV − 820 GeV
- Significant high-energy cutoff feature in IGRB spectrum
 - Consistent with simple source populations attenuated by EBL
- Roughly half of total EGB intensity above 100 GeV now resolved into individual LAT sources



Supplemental Material

"Olber's Paradox" Why is the Night Sky Dark?





"Olber's Paradox" Why is the Night Sky Dark?





Gamma Rays as Part of the Non-thermal Universe



The origin of the extragalactic gamma-ray background is not definitively established ...

nor high-energy cosmic neutrinos



nor the extragalactic radio background ...

nor ultra-high-energy cosmic rays ...

Comparing Extragalactic Source Populations



Most of the cumulative intensity from blazars (bright, rare) has already been resolved with the LAT. Opposite is true of star-forming galaxies (faint, numerous).



Blazars:

Observed Flux Distribution





Over 1000 blazars detected to cosmologically significant redshifts with the LAT Flux distribution can be estimated from relative firm empirical basis

Star-forming Galaxies: Multiwavelength Scaling Relations





Cumulative intensity of star-forming galaxies almost entirely unresolved

Contribution to EGB estimated with multiwavelength scaling relations or physical models calibrated in local universe

For example, gamma-ray luminosity of Local Group and nearby starburst galaxies is quasilinearly correlated with starformation rate (traced by total IR luminosity)

Ackermann et al. 2012, ApJ, 755, 16

Fermi LAT in Detail







Imaging Calorimeter

- 8.6 RL
- 1536 Csl crystals
- Hodoscopic (12 x 8 layers)

Atwood et al. 2009, ApJ, 697, 1071

Precision Converter and Tracker

- Single sided SSD (40 cm, 228 um) $\sim 80 \text{ m}^2$
- W foil interleaved (12x3% RL, 4x18% RL)
- 18 xy planes
- 1.5 RL

Thin/Front Thick/Back



(+ Data Acquisition System)

• 500 Hz sent to ground



Anti-Coincidence Detector

- 4% RL
- Segmented (89 plastic scintillator tiles, 8 ribbons)
- 0.9997 efficiency

LAT Event Reconstruction and Classification





Nearly ideal gamma-ray candidate

- 1. Track starts in middle of TKR
- 2. Extra hits near track
- 3.TKR ionization consistent with
 - electron-positron pairs
- 4. CAL axis aligned with track
- 5. CAL energy confined near axis

Nearly ideal proton candidate

- 1.Signal in surrounding ACD (not shown)
- 2. Starts at top of TKR
- 3. Few extra hits near track
- 4. CAL axis not-aligned with TKR
- 5. CAL energy "lumpier"



P7 Performance Plots (IRFs)





P7 Performance Plots (IRFs)





P7 Performance Plots (IRFs)













Galactic Foreground Model B: Electron Spectrum





Radial Distribution of Cosmic-ray Sources





Galactic Foreground Model C: Diffusion Coefficient and Alfven Speed

Diffusion Coefficient

Alfven Speed

PRELIMINARY

Estimating Residual Cosmic-ray Background Rates from On-orbit Data

Example for P7SOURCE: Distribution of multivariate event classifier output in 200 – 400 MeV range used to calibrate residual cosmic-ray rates at low energies

Estimating Residual Cosmic-ray Background Rates from On-orbit Data

Example for P7SOURCE: Distribution of transverse shower size output in 200 – 400 MeV range used to calibrate residual cosmic-ray rates at low energies

LAT Sources Detected Above 10 GeV

514 Sources in First Fermi-LAT Catalog of Sources Above 10 GeV (1FHL)

3 years, 10 – 500 GeV, P7 Clean events

Ackermann et al. 2013, ApJS, 209, 34

LAT Sources Detected Above 10 GeV

63 1FHL sources not in 2FGL

84 1FHL sources associated with known VHE sources

BL Lac blazars are most abundant source type

HSP-BL Lac ISP-BL Lac LSP-BL Lac BL Lac

Ackermann et al. 2013, ApJS, 209, 34

Blazars: Observed Flux Distribution

Roughly half of the total EGB intensity above 100 GeV now resolved into individual sources with the LAT (mainly BL Lacertae type blazars)

Power Law Photon Index Distribution for Blazars Detected with the LAT above 10 GeV

EBL Attenuation for Individually Resolved Blazars

EBL attenuation feature as function of energy (observed / expected flux) for blazars in 3 redshift intervals

Vertical dashed line = critical energy below which < 5% of source photons are expected to be absorbed

Long dashed line = EBL model of Franceschini et al. 2008

Solid line = best-fit model assuming sources have intrinsic exponential cutoff, and follow blazar sequence model

Ackermann et al. 2012, Science, 338, 1190

EBL Attenuation for Individually Resolved Blazars

Model intrinsic blazar spectra by extrapolating the log-parabola fit at energies below the critical energy (< 5% attenuation expected from EBL model)

Shared opacity parameter (b) fit simultaneously using all sources

Attenuation $\exp(\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E,z))$ with optical depth $\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E,z) = b \cdot \tau_{\gamma\gamma}^{\text{model}}(E,z)$

Ackermann et al. 2012, Science, 338, 1190

Simple Source Population Scenarios with EBL Attenuation and Secondary Cascades

Expected Contributions to the IGRB (circa 2013)

Extragalactic Radio Background

Apparent extragalactic intensity at ~GHz frequencies measured with ARCADE-2 and in other surveys is factor ~4 larger than expected from known extragalactic source populations (note that a significant fraction of the total expected emission from star-forming galaxies has already been resolved)

See for example

Fixen et al. 2009 arXiv:0901.0555

Vernstrom et al. 2013 arXiv:1311.6451

Fornengo et al. 2013 (right) arXiv:1311.7451

